Administrative corruption: why and how?
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Abstract

Administrative corruption is a complicated, multidimensional phenomenon created by many reasons resulting in many effects, which is exhibited in a variety of roles and aspects. Considering the importance of this subject in different countries, extensive studies have been carried out in recent years to identify and ascertain the causes of this dilemma. It should be asserted that economic factors are fundamental factors of any social structure leading to considerable impacts on individual activities including bribery, embezzlement, and financial corruption. Administrative corruption is a social phenomenon facing all countries of the world. On this basis, various nations with different perception of corruption as an inevitable issue have decided to found their political and institutional structures in a way that least degrees of corruption are observed. International experience suggests that corruption is complex, hidden and diversified. Thus, combating it needs a continuous, lengthy, and difficult process. Corruption is rooted in various backgrounds, which can result in creation, expansion and promotion of administrative corruption in potential and actual forms. Using these factors, we can find more effective ways in reducing and eliminating administrative corruption. With regard to the importance of administrative corruption, this paper is aimed at exploring how and why administrative corruption exists.

Key words: Administrative corruption, administrative system, bribery, politics, embezzlement
Introduction

Today, organizations are highly interested in administrative corruption. IbnKhaldun (1332 -1406 AD) paid attention to administrative corruption, and he believed that its main cause is severe enthusiasm of the aristocrats to live in luxury. In his opinion, high costs of luxurious lives persuade them to administrative corruption (Habibi, 1996). Administrative corruption is among those phenomena, which significantly influence the development of countries. Although many planning and consideration given to this issue, it is still growing in various aspects of the society. To combat administrative corruption, organization experts believe in approaches more focused on preventing rather than punishing. The term administrative corruption used as an antonym of administrative health, has long been under close attention of organizational intellectuals who made their efforts to eliminate it by proposing definitions in accordance to organizational principles, the common factors of which are bribery and occupational abuses for person interest. The consequences of administrative corruption lead to challenges and abnormalities such as occupational abuses, bribery and embezzlement, nepotism, injustice, blackmail, dissatisfaction of clients, robbery of organizational properties and assets, and sale of confidential information in individual, group and organized forms. In political, economic and management culture of Iran, Fasad e edari is usually used as an equivalent to corruption (Farhad, 1999).

Importance and necessity of the research

Organizations with healthy organizational systems can lead the societies to productivity and better utilization of human resources, natural resources, technical knowledge, and financial investments as the main factor of any society's success. Corruption in third world has reached a common chronic and critical level. Many criticisms made in the mass media of socioeconomic structures and policies adopted by governmental institutions, indicate that there are still many unsolved problems, which affect administrative corruption indirectly and reduce the efficiency.

Economically, the obtained results show that economic development indices such as GDP, annual per capita production and economic liberalization, regulation quality standard, size of underground economy, social development index, and citizen participation are important and effective parameters for financial corruption. According to the results, improvements in socioeconomic development index, citizen participations, and regulation quality index can improve financial corruption control index (reduction of financial corruption) in selected countries, while increase in the size of underground economy, will increase financial corruption control index (Sinjer, 1999).

History of administrative corruption

Ancient civilization history studies suggest that corruption is a major factor of collapse of civilizations together with other factors. In other words, corruption is followed by many social disorders, wars, aggressions, rebellions, elimination of infrastructures, and disturbance of societies. Hence, corruption is as old as civilization, which is now a worldwide problem. During
centuries and ages, there has always been a reverse correlation between accurate and timely use of power and territories, and corruption. When power was used in a desired manner, corruption declined. In fact, administrative corruption is a double ganger of any political regime. Since the time human activities became organized and coherent, administrative corruption started to be an inseparable part of organizations. Therefore, it is can be regarded as an unwanted child created as a result of intra-organizational relations as well as organization-environment relations (Robins, 2005).

Studies of ancient books show that regimes and governments has long been facing the problem of abusing of governmental staff and agents and has always been concerned about governmental authorities abusing their privileges for personal interests. In other words, at all times corruption have been a challenge for regimes and an intellectual concern for political thinkers. In 14th century, Dante in Divine Comedy paid attention to corruption, and placed it in the lowest depths of hell. According to Machiavelli, corruption is a current, which weakens moral attitudes and threatens salvation and virtues. Since virtueless people are more exposed to corruption, this problem should be resolved by controlling these people, directing intellectual leaders, and with the aid of monitoring organizations. The responsibilities and powers legitimately submitted to all government employees pave the way for such abuses. Additional costs imposed by historically experienced financial crimes such as bribery, embezzlement and fraudulent activities on society and government has encouraged political, social and economic experts to pay attention to administrative crimes in recent decades and innovate effective and inexpensive methods to combat it (Mahmoodi, 2003).

Definitions of administrative corruption

Administrative corruption is defined differently by different societies, cultures and viewpoints. Its root is Rumper meaning “breaking” that is, in corruption process something either breaks or is violated, which it may be an ethical behavior, a legal procedure, or often administrative regulations. According to Gunnar Myrdal corruption refers to all types of deviation, power abuses or illegitimate use of occupational positions (Robins, 2005).

On other hand, a globally accepted definition by World Bank and Transparency International defines corruption as abuse of administrative powers (public power) in order to gain personal (private) benefits. Based on the definition of corruption by World Bank corruption is abuse of government powers to meet personal needs (Same’e, 2009). In another definition proposed by researchers, financial corruption is a deal between the actors in private and public sectors through which public products are illegitimately changed into private profits (Haji Yousefi, 1999).

The nature of administrative corruption

Naturally, no human-being is born violator, criminal or sinful, but imbalanced social and economic conditions results in corruption, which in its administrative form threatens
governmental and non-governmental institutions as a result of these imbalanced conditions. Undoubtedly, when there is no social, economic, or political security or hope of having a bright future, self-preservation replaces social security, which persuade individuals, parties and groups to look positively and optimistically at corruption (Tatday, 1999). Adm. Corr. is the problem many societies, but it is seen in less obvious in some countries and more in some others. Indonesia, India and Vietnam have the corrupt official systems in the world. In today’s consumerist community, excessive growth of material needs and the tendency to meet increasing material needs has accelerated. And money is the first priority. For one who cannot earn further incomes, and legal money making ways are closed to him, how can he fulfill his growing requirements?

**Types of admin corruption**

Heidenheimer classifies political corruption to three categories: black, gray and white.

**Black admin Corruption** is an act blamed by masses and political elites, whose doer must be punished. For example, receiving bribe for neglecting safety standards in construction.

**Gray admin Corruption** is an act blamed by the majority of elites, but the messes are indifferent about it. For example, delinquency of employees in enforcing laws of lower popularity among people, and only political elites believe in their usefulness.

**White admin Corruption** is an act apparently against the law, but most members of society (i.e. political elites and the public) do not consider it enough important to be punished. One example is negligence of breaking the regulations, which lost their necessity due to social and cultural changes. Administrative corruption refers to a situation in an official system occurred as a result of iterative infringements of the employees, which can be distributed, and will result in decreased efficiency and effectiveness of the system.

The most common infringements leading to administrative corruption are:

1. Bribery
2. Embezzlement
3. Cheating
4. Blackmail
5. Fraud
6. Extortion
7. Nepotism
Economic rents as a significant index of administrative corruption

Historically, there have always been privileges and credits given to particular group in many lower developed countries owing to unbalanced and uncoordinated social, economic and official structures as well as high concentration of power, resources and authorities in hands of government. These groups are benefited from rich resources with regard to special social conditions without any useful and constructive activities. Such conditions and availability of such resources for a special group bring incomes in form of economic rents far beyond their eligibility. In other words, income-based rents derived from occupation or ownership is a fundamental right against which the owner gives no help to the society. Or, it is a rent earned for particular reasons through sale of goods and services at costs much higher prices than production costs. A specific feature of governmental rent is that oil-based incomes of oil producing and exporting countries are slightly related to production processes in national economies. Some thinkers set quantitative criteria to extinguish rentier states, i.e. any states %42 or more of whose revenues are external rents is known as a rentier state (Maadanchian, 2005).

Negative impacts

Administrative corruption upsets the expenditure budget of a government and persuades it to complex, unjustified, huge investments, which cannot be accurately monitored. On other hand, administrative corruption including employment corruption and employing incompetent human resources decreases the efficiency of the systems in the long run. Corruption also slows down economic growth because it demotivates internal and external investors. Kauffmann (2007) indicates that investment in a relatively corrupt country compared to a non-corrupt one is equal to %20 surplus investment tax. Corruption lowers legitimacy and efficacy of the governments, jeopardizes stability and security of societies, alters democracy and morals, and blocks political and social development of the society (Shokrollahi, 1999). Corruption, by definition, upsets game rules of a society (the institutions) leading to an increase in transaction costs. Increased transaction costs disables investment, production and entrepreneurship, and cripples the economy.

Theories on causes of administrative corruption

Required by the angle at which the theorists look at this phenomenon, they have presented various and somewhat similar definition. For instance, James Scott defines administrative corruption as a behavior in which one serves in a governmental position in order to fulfill his private interests and achieve further well-being or a higher position beyond a formal framework. A preferred definition was suggested by Samuel Huntington, which is included here with some modifications: administrative corruption is set of behaviors of those public employees who ignore accepted codes and norms towards non-organizational objectives (Klitgaard, 2006). In other words, corruption is an illegitimate instrument to satisfy illegitimate demands of administrative systems. The equations of corruption are as follows:
Corruption= monopoly+ concealed power- accountability and transparency

Facilitating agents

a) Political factors: increasing political and economic corruption is observed in many countries with different economic, social and ideological backgrounds. Thus, it has changed into one of the largest concerns of international community. Today, development economy experts agree that countries relying on raw materials than production are rentier, which distribute incomes from raw material sale in the society and deteriorate the socioeconomic state due to growing corruption. Rentier countries are those which receive the majority of their revenues via external sources in form of rents. The likelihood of arresting and punishing bribers and bribees affects its prevalence.

Lack of full independence of the judicial system, influences of Executive on the judiciary and inspection agencies, pressures of internal and international hardliners, corrupt high level managers, propaganda, recommendations for safeguarding the managers from penalties are major political facilitators of corruption.

b) Official factors: ineffective, voluminous and non-proportional administrative structures, complexity of laws and regulations, numerous circular notes and guidelines, ineffective managers, lack of meritocracy; employment discriminations; appointment and promotion of individuals; dysfunction of payroll system; reward and punishment, especially for monitoring and evaluation; preferring group aims to organizational ones; unawareness of clients about official and legal procedures

The most important reasons of ignoring admin corruption are:

1. Preference of some states to provide money-making opportunities through illegitimate means to their supporters instead of giving legal permits.
2. Family ties
3. Restriction of private sector
4. Further urbanization
5. Reluctance of the society to ethical norms (Haji Yousefi, 2007)

Restriction and prevention of administrative corruption

The researchers mention four groups as conventional methods to prevent administrative corruption:

1. **Scientific method** deals with conventional branches of science particularly human sciences discussed about how corruption was created, why did it appear and how to combat it (based on scientific findings).
2- **Empirical method** is based on previous research activities including ups and downs, weaknesses and strengths of preceding actions taken in order to prevent corruption with the aid of these experiences.

3- **Moral method**, which by referring to religious and national sources, principles of corruption prevention and control are extracted.

4- **Comparative method**, which takes the experiences of other states, transnational, regional and international bodies into consideration (Ma'adanchyan, 2003).

Actions which reduce the demand of citizens for corrupt services are:

1- Deregulation

2- Rise of public awareness (Habibi, 2009).

Actions which reduce administrative corruption of employees are:

1- Correction of official system

2- Prevention of employment corruption

3- Raise of salaries of governmental employee

4- General monitoring of governmental organizations

5- Formation of independent organizations to combat corruption (Abbasszadegan, 2004).

Method for simultaneous reduction of corruption

1- Encourage the employees to disseminate and disclose the information


The report of Transparency International on Iran

According to Transparency International Report 2005, Iran among 159 countries of the world is rated 93, which has fallen 5 ranks compared to the last year (2004). Transparency International (TI) lists all countries on financial and economic corruption. This report is compiled based on 16 research activities carried out by 10 international organizations. TI ranks corruption between 1 (highest corruption) and 10 (lowest corruption). In Report 2005, Iran was 2.9, which shows no change to 2004. The amplitude of economic corruption in Iran was 2.3-3.3 (Adib, 2009).

**Conclusions**

Administrative corruption is a behavior, which one within an administrative environment is focused on his or others’ personal interests, and deviates from the normal official procedure and acts against predetermined objectives of the organization or office resulting in disturbance and
losses to the system and ultimately to public interest. Unfortunately, not only Iranian official system had no help for the national development owing to internal and external organizational issues, but it promoted underdevelopment. And despite official revolutions, official modifications, bureaucratic purge, contractions and extensions of national official management, the country still suffers structural and management defects. Administrative corruption is a complex, multidimensional phenomenon with many causes and effects playing different roles in different conditions. With regard to worldwide importance of such issue, extensive studied have been carried out to identify and ascertain causes of this problem. It should be asserted that economic factors are fundamental factors of any social structure leading to considerable impacts on individual activities including bribery, embezzlement, and financial corruption. Administrative corruption is a social phenomenon facing all countries of the world. On this basis, various nations with different perception of corruption as an inevitable issue have decided to found their political and institutional structures in a way that least degrees of corruption are observed. International experience suggests that corruption is complex, hidden and diversified. In fact, corruption in official and social aspects is like an infection, in case it pervades the body (society) it can disable the body organs one by one and perhaps the entire body. There is a variety of actual and potential factors to emerge, expand and promote corruption. Identification of these factors can be helpful in finding ways for elimination of administrative corruption in the system.
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